

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	THE DIO DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	Takayuki Takimoto	82285	5271	
10/812,640	03/30/2004	Takayuki Takimoto	EXAMINER		
7590 07/17/2006 FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO; IL 60603-3406			SLITERIS, JOSELYNN Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616		
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	TAKIMOTO, TA	KAYUKI		
	Office Action Summary	10/812,640 Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joselynn Y. Sliteris	3616	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence	address
Pariod fo	or Reply			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	will apply and will expire SIX (6)	ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this as ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
الأحد	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal i	matters, prosecution as to C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
Disposif	tion of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration		
	ation Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	od to by the Evaminer	
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objects	hevance See 37 CFR 1.85(	a).
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ne drawing(s) be new in a	awing(s) is objected to. See 3	, 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or for	n PTO-152.
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been receive ents have been receive priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	d. d in Application No been received in this Nati ).	-· onal Stage
Attachm	Intice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Pa	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Ir	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SEC) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) No	tice of Informal Patent Application	on (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/812,640

Art Unit: 3616

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed and/or disclosed invention:
  - I. an airbag according to Figs. 1-3(b);
  - II. an airbag according to Figs. 4-5(c);
  - III. an airbag according to Figs. 6-8(b);
  - IV. an airbag according to Figs. 9-12; and
  - V. an airbag according to Figs. 13-16.

The species are independent or distinct because the airbags of Groups I-V each have a different configuration.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claims 1, 12, and 21 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/812,640

Art Unit: 3616

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Stephen Favakeh (Reg. No. 36,798) on 6/26/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joselynn Y. Sliteris whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/812,640 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

272-6675. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues & Thurs 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul N. Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joselynn Y. Sliteris

Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3616

JYS 6/27/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600